C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

AGENDA

The next meeting of the
Congestion Management & Air Quality Committee
will be as follows. The change in name for this Committee to the "Congestion Management & Environmental Committee" is subject to a revision of the C/CAG By Laws which will be considered on August 10, 2006.

Date: Monday, July 31, 2006 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Place: San Mateo City Hall
330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, California
Conference Room C (across from Council Chambers)

PLEASE CALL WALTER MARTONE (599-1465) IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

1.	Public Comment On Items Not On The Agenda	Presentations are limited to 3 minutes.		3:10 p.m. 5 mins.

CONSENT AGENDA

2.	Minutes of June 26, 2006 meeting.	Action (Martone)	Pages 1-4	3:15 p.m. 5 mins.

REGULAR AGENDA

3.	New Sustainable San Mateo County Indicators Report.	Information (Shelley Kilday, Director of Education, Sustainable San Mateo County)	Page 5-6 Report was previously mailed to CMAQ Members. Call Walter Martone to request another copy.	3:20 p.m. 30 mins.

555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063 PHONE: 650.599.1406 FAX: 650.361.8227

4.	Review of potential candidate projects for the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) component of the Highway, Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (subject to approval by the voters in November 2006)	Wong (Preliminary Action)	Pages 7-11	3:50 p.m. 20 mins.
5.	Update on C/CAG's role in the Regional Housing Needs Allocation Process (RHNA).	Information (Napier)	Page 13	4:10 p.m. 10 mins
6.	Member comments and announcements.	Information (O'Connell)		4:20 p.m. 10 mins.
7.	Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date for August 28, 2006.	Action (O'Connell)		4:30 p.m.

NOTE: **All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.**

NOTE: ***Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.***

Other enclosures/Correspondence – Roster for Utilities Working Group

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
COMMITTEE ON CONGESTION MANAGEMENT
AND AIR QUALITY (CMAQ)

MINUTES
MEETING OF JUNE 26, 2006

At 3:01 p.m., the meeting was called to order by Chairwoman Irene O'Connell in Conference Room C of San Mateo City Hall.

Members Attending: Jim Bigelow, Judith Christensen, Tom Davids, William Dickenson, Linda Koelling, Sue Lempert, Arthur Lloyd, Karyl Matsumoto, Chairwoman Irene O'Connell, Barbara Pierce, Vice-Chairwoman Sepi Richardson, and Onnolee Trapp.

Staff/Guests Attending: Sandy Wong, John Hoang, and Walter Martone (C/CAG Staff - County Public Works), Pat Dixon (Transportation Authority Citizens Advisory Committee), Duane Bay (County Housing Director).

1. Public comment on items not on the agenda.

None.

CONSENT AGENDA

2. Minutes of April 24, 2006 meeting.

A correction was noted on the top of page 2, second bullet, strike the words "League of California Cities has a".

Motion: To approve the Minutes as amended. Bigelow/Dickenson, unanimous.

REGULAR AGENDA

3. Revised Final Policy on Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to determine impacts on the Congestion Management Program (CMP) roadway network resulting from roadway changes, general plan updates, and land use development projects.

Sandy Wong provided the following report:

- This item was reviewed and approved by the CMAQ Committee on March 27th. Since that time, the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) has made some changes to the policy to address specific concerns of certain cities, and to make the policy more readable.

Comments from CMAQ Members:

- Concern was expressed over not requiring mitigation where the Level of Service is degraded, but not below the C/CAG adopted standard.
- It was suggested that some incentive be provided to increase densities in select locations that will cumulatively enhance the viability of improved transit service.
- The impacts of ramp metering, signal synchronization projects, and other improvements will be factored in based on their projected implementation date. The C/CAG Travel Forecasting Model will have all of these improvements taken into consideration in the projections of future traffic conditions.
- Shuttles and other Transportation Demand Management programs should be required at the

local level to address the impacts of added traffic.

- Staff was requested to identify the number of added cars that would trigger a degradation in the Level of Service on roadways.

Motion: To approve the TAC recommendations as presented and to add to Section II an encouragement for local jurisdictions to look at transit and other opportunities to reduce on a cumulative basis, the trips that are generated through increased development and other land use changes. Bigelow/Pierce, unanimous.

4. Recommendations for the development of a Traffic Incident Management Plan for the U.S. 101 Corridor.

John Hoang provided the following presentation:

- It is proposed that the plan for Traffic Incident Management on U.S. 101 be developed in two phases – Phase one would cover Route 92 and south, and Phase two would cover Route 92 and north.
- The purpose of the Plan is to address major incidents, defined as lasting a number of hours but generally less than one full day, on U.S. 101.
- The Plan will include the identification of detours and roles and responsibilities of various entities for the activation of the elements of the Plan at selected locations.
- The focus of the Plan and the detours to be established is to get vehicles off of the freeway before the incident and then back onto the freeway at the first interchange past the incident.

Comments from the CMAQ included:

- Signage should be an integral component of the Plan. Caltrans has a number of electronic changeable message signs on the freeways that can alert motorists of the incident and direct them to detours. The Plan will also incorporate the use of extinguishable message signs that can be used on local streets and roads to identify the detour route.
- It would be ideal if the Plan could also include elements dealing with other types of major emergencies such as earthquake and terrorist attacks.
- The Metropolitan Transportation Commission is also developing a region-wide incident management plan. This Plan will be very broad in nature. The C/CAG Plan will be very specific as to locations, responsibilities of entities, plans of action, coordination, chain of command, monitoring, and returning the situation to normal operation.
- The goal of the Plan will be to have specific detours and routines adopted to address every possible location on U.S. 101.
- There will be two committees created to work on the development and implementation of the Plan. One committee will be composed of the jurisdictions along U.S. 101 who will likely have some responsibility for implementing and/or overseeing the implementation of the Plan. The other committee will be a technical advisory committee that will include broad representation from all potential stakeholders. It was suggested that San Francisco International Airport be included in one or both of these committees.
- The Plan will tie in with the regional 511 network and the Caltrans Transportation Management Center in Oakland.
- It was noted that U.S. 101 has some major congestion at the northern part of San Mateo County and could greatly benefit from some incident management planning. The southern section of U.S. 101 was selected for the first phase because of the tie in with the ramp-metering program.

Motion: To approve the TAC recommendations as presented. Pierce/ Bigelow, unanimous.

5. **Roles and responsibilities of the CMAQ Committee.**

Walter Martone provided the following report:

- At the request of the CMAQ Chair, C/CAG staff has assembled excerpts from the minutes and reports of previous CMAQ meetings where the roles and responsibilities of the Committee were discussed.

Comments by the CMAQ members and responses to questions included:

- It was recommended that this packet of information be used in future orientation sessions for new members.
- The new Utilities Task Force created by CMAQ should be added to the mailing list for all CMAQ mailings.
- The CMAQ requested that a copy of the roster for the Utilities Task Force be sent to all CMAQ members.
- There was a long discussion about the name "Congestion Management and Air Quality", its history, and whether the word "Air" continues to have significance for the Committee. It was noted that the current CMAQ name has caused confusion with the Federal program that uses the same acronym.
- The word "Environmental" takes into consideration the fact that the Committee now includes programs dealing with all aspects of the environment and not just air quality.

Motion: To change the name of the Committee to the "Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee". Richardson/Bigelow, unanimous.

6. **Discussion of various housing items.**
   - **Housing needs study**
   - **Subregional housing allocation**
   - **El Camino Real Workshops**

Richard Napier provided the following report:

- Housing needs study – It is anticipated that the final product of this study will be available for distribution in July.
- Subregional housing allocation – C/CAG staff held a meeting with the planning and community development staff of the cities on this topic. There was cautious interest in proceeding with the steps to allow C/CAG to take on this allocation process. Individuals are particularly interested in the potential benefits of having more local control over the process. The next step toward C/CAG assuming this role will be for the interested cities/County to adopt Resolutions by August 31, 2006. It was noted that there will always be the option to abandon the process and revert to the numbers that are developed by ABAG.
  - It was noted that C/CAG, as the Congestion Management Agency, needs to take advantage of every opportunity to promote transit and transit-oriented developments.
  - This process needs to recognize that all jurisdictions should be expected to provide their fair share of housing and not use the ability to swap allocations as a way to dump housing on a particular jurisdiction.
  - New transit should be linked to housing. There needs to be a plan to get people to transit stops.
  - For C/CAG to take on this housing allocation process, it is not necessary for 100% of the jurisdictions in San Mateo County to participate. However it is unlikely that C/CAG will assume this responsibility unless at least two-thirds of the jurisdictions

participate.
  - Any agreements developed among the jurisdictions must be mutually agreeable.
  - It is important to factor into the allocation process how the impacts of new development can be managed through new transit service.
  - It is also important to ensure that jobs stay in our communities and that the commuters are able to get to these jobs.
  - The Committee would like an update on the jurisdictions that adopt Resolutions to participate.
- El Camino Real Workshops – The first workshop that was held focused on gaining the input of the business community on future development along El Camino Real. The process worked extremely well and was run very professionally by the Consultants. There are some concerns with the outputs from the new land use forecasting model showing very high levels of development. Staff is working with the Consultant to resolve these problems. It is anticipated that we will be ready to start rolling out the workshops to the cities from September to December 2006. Once the problems with the model are resolved, C/CAG plans on making it available to the individual cities for their on-going use. C/CAG and Caltrans have adopted the definition of El Camino Real that includes the parameters for making modifications to the roadway. It is anticipated that this new agreement will help improvement programs on this road to qualify for new funding from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

7. Member comments and announcements.

- Sue Lempert and Arthur Lloyd reported on AB 1699. This bill is in reaction to an accident in Southern California where a vehicle was riding on the train tracks and was subsequently hit by a commuter train operating in the push mode. There were a number of fatalities in the train car that was at the front of the train. This bill, if adopted, would prohibit commuter trains from operating in the push mode by 2010. Should the bill pass, Caltrain would have to double the number of engines that it has in service. This would be cost prohibitive and possibly put the train out of business. This bill is a reaction to a freak accident that actually could have been worse had the train been operating in the pull mode, due to the potential for the igniting of the diesel fuel from the engine. The Samtrans Lobbyist and Arthur Lloyd will be testifying in opposition to this bill at its Senate hearing on June 27th. If the bill still passes, it may be necessary for the jurisdictions in the Caltrain corridor to start contacting their legislators to express opposition with the bill.
- Linda Koelling reported on AB 2987. This bill will take away much of the authority of local jurisdictions to negotiate, manage, and regulate telecommunications franchises. The League and a number of local jurisdiction elected officials will be going to Sacramento on June 27th to testify in opposition to this bill. It is also important for local elected officials to sent letters to their legislators telling them of their concerns with this bill.

8. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date for July 31, 2006.

The next regular meeting of CMEQ will be on July 31, 2006. At 4:30 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

June 5, 2006

Sustainable San Mateo County
177 Bovet Road Sixth Floor
San Mateo, California 94402

Attention: Judy Williams - Executive Administrator

Dear Ms. Williams:

At the 5/19/06 City Managers Meeting, a presentation was given on the Sustainable San Mateo County 2006 Report Card. It was an excellent presentation and well received. However, I want to bring to your attention several major accomplishments that were not included that merit inclusion.

AB 1546 Transportation/ Environmental Program - A dedicated $4 motor vehicle fee was approved and began implementation in the past year. The program is targeted to benefit both congestion and air quality. This will provide funds to assist the Cities/ County in implementing Congestion Management and Storm-water Pollution Prevention Programs. It will also provide funds for expanded countywide programs in both these areas including the investigation of an alternative fuel shuttle program for San Mateo County. This will provide approximately $2.8M per year for the next three and a half years. San Mateo County through C/CAG is the only county in the State that has this authority.

El Camino Real Incentive Program - C/CAG adopted an El Camino Real Incentive Program to encourage the cities and the County to plan for the transportation and land use for the El Camino Real Corridor. Over $15M has been committed to provide for capital improvements, planning process and incentives, and implementation incentives.

Transit Oriented Development Incentive Program - C/CAG is in the Third Cycle of the Transit Oriented Development Incentive Program. An incentive of $2.7 M is committed to develop 1306 units with 2192 bedrooms in 9 cities. This program received one of only four Environmental Protection Agency Smart Growth Awards for 2002 and has been duplicated by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and other regions.

As you can see these are significant programs whose goals will contribute to the quality of life in San Mateo County. Enclosed is detailed information on each program. If there are any questions please contact me at 650 599-1420. Your consideration is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Richard Napier
Executive Director

Attachments: AB 1546 Program
El Camino Real Incentive Program
Transit Oriented Development Incentive Program

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: July 31, 2006

To: Congestion Management and Air Quality Committee (CMAQ)

From: Richard Napier, Executive Director

Subject: Review of potential candidate projects for the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) component of the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (subject to approval by the voters in November 2006)

(For further information contact Richard Napier at 599-1420 or Sandy Wong at 599-1409)

RECOMMENDATION

That the CMAQ Committee review the potential candidate projects for the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) in the event that voters approve the Senate Bill (SB) 1266 - Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006.

FISCAL IMPACT

Funding will be from the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 if approved by voters on November 7, 2006.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

SB 1266 is subject to voter approval at the November 7, 2006 statewide general election. It would authorize $19.925 billion of state general obligation bonds for specified purposes, including $4.5 billion for the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA).

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 will be before California voters on November 7, 2006. If approved, it will include $19.925 billion for high-priority transportation corridor improvements, State Route 99 corridor enhancements, trade infrastructure and port security projects, schoolbus retrofit and replacement purposes, state transportation improvement program augmentation, transit and passenger rail improvements, state-local partnership transportation projects, transit security projects, local bridge seismic retrofit projects, highway-railroad grade separation and crossing improvement projects, state highway safety and rehabilitation projects, and local street and road improvement, congestion relief, and traffic safety.

Of the $19.925 billion, $4.5 billion will be in the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA). Funding in the $4.5 billion CMIA will be at the California Transportation Commission's (CTC) discretion based on guidelines to be adopted, and subject to northern and southern California split. The CTC will adopt guidelines for the CMIA by December 1, 2006. Projects nominated for this category shall be submitted to the CTC for consideration by no later than January 15, 2007.

The Bay Area region, including Caltrans, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and the nine Bay Area counties have initiated dialogue at the regional level to collaborate on this issue in the event that voters approve.

Additional comments from the CMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC):

- Focus on good projects that will compete well at the regional and State levels.
- How about auxiliary lanes on Interstate 280 north or Interstate 380?
- Between now and the time of November election, put this as a recurring item on all TAC meeting agendas.

ATTACHMENT

- San Mateo County Potential Candidate Projects for the Transportation Infrastructure Bond.
- Elements of SB 1266 (Perata/Nunez) Bond Package.
- Bay Area Share of SB 1266 Local Street and Road Funds.

San Mateo County Potential Candidate Projects for the Transportation Infrastructure Bond

July 20, 2006

		Description	Total cost	Benefits	Notes
1	SM 101	U.S. 101 Aux lanes from Marsh to Santa Clara County Line	$105,000,000	Relief congestion, improve travel time, improve air quality.	May be done as part of Project 2.
2	SM 101	U.S. 101 Additional lanes from Marsh to Rte 85 (incl San Antonio I/C)	$200,000,000	Relief congestion, improve travel time, improve air quality.	Joint project of San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties
3	SM 84	Bayfront Expwy/Willow Rd grade Separations, incl flyover and RR grade sep. Listed in RTP as Dumbarton Bridge Access to US 101.	$250,000,000	Relief congestion, improve travel time, improve connectivity, improve air quality, improve regional connectivity.	Supported by Santa Clara County
4	SM 101	U.S. 101/Willow Rd Interchange Improvement	$45,000,000	Improve safety, relief congestion, improve travel time, improve air quality, improve access to jobs.	
5	SM 92	Route 92 Widening and operation improvement from Hwy 101 to Hwy 280	$90,000,000	Improve safety, improve air quality, improve access to commerce, improve connectivity between rural and urban areas, improve regional connectivity.	
6	SM 92 & 101	Route 92/U.S. 101 interchange operational improvement	$10,000,000	Relief congestion, improve travel time, improve air quality.	
7	Various locations	Railroad grade separations at various locations	$90,000,000	Improve safety, improve travel time.	
8	SM 101	U.S. 101/Woodside Interchange Improvement	$56,000,000	Improve ingress/egress to seaport.	
9	SM 101	U.S. 101/Broadway Interchange Improvement	$45,000,000	Improve access to airport.	
10	SM 92	Rte 92 Truck Climbing Lane (Route 35 to I-280)	$90,000,000	Accommodate movements of freight, improve access to markets and commerce.	
11	SM 84	Signal coordination along Willow Rd		Improve travel time, improve air quality	
12	SM 109	Signal coordination along University Ave		Improve travel time, improve air quality	
13	SM 101	US 101 ramp metering from Route 92 to SF County Line			
14	SM 280	I-280 ramp metering from I-380 to SF County Line			
15	SM 280	Install TMS, CCTV, CMS on I-280 SCL Co. Line to SF Co. Line			
16	Various locations	ITS equipment on incident management routes			
17	Various locations	Fiber optic network around the Bay			A Bay Area regional project

Elements of SB 1266 (Perata/Nunez) Bond Package	
Funding Category	**Amount (in millions)**
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement[1]	$ 3,600
Intercity Rail	$ 400
Corridor Mobility[2]	$ 4,500
State Route 99	$ 1,000
State Transportation Improvement Program	$ 2,000
Local Streets and Roads	$ 2,000
State Highway Operation and Protection Program	$ 500
Local Streets and Road ITS	$ 250
State-Local Partnership Program	$ 1,000
Goods Movement	$ 2,000
Air Quality - Goods movement	$ 1,000
Air Quality - School Bus Diesel Retrofit	$ 200
Transit Security	$ 1,000
Port Security	$ 100
Local Match for Bridge Seismic Retrofit	$ 125
Highway-Railroad Grade Separations	$ 250
Total	**$ 19,925**

Notes:

1. Distributed according to the State Transit Assistance formula

2. Selected by the California Transportation Commission and subject to the north/south split, pursuant to Section 188 of the Streets and Highways Code.

Bay Area Share of SB1266 Local Street and Road Funds

Note: All Numbers are estimates and subject to change

STATEWIDE AMOUNT	$	**2,000,000,000**
BAY AREA SHARE	$	**375,435,420**
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COUNTIES	$	167,675,794
DISTRIBUTIONS TO CITIES	$	207,759,626

DIRECT DISTRIBUTIONS TO COUNTIES	**ALLOCATION**
Alameda	$31,250,390
Contra Costa	$24,570,278
Marin	$7,381,728
Napa	$4,998,243
San Francisco	$14,656,034
San Mateo	$18,472,879
Santa Clara	$38,048,019
Solano	$11,375,937
Sonoma	$16,922,286
Region	**$167,675,794**

SAN MATEO		
ATHERTON	$	400,000
BELMONT	$	814,868
BRISBANE	$	400,000
BURLINGAME	$	899,824
COLMA	$	400,000
DALY CITY	$	3,330,257
EAST PALO ALTO	$	1,019,315
FOSTER CITY	$	949,959
HALF MOON BAY	$	404,733
HILLSBOROUGH	$	400,000
MENLO PARK	$	976,964
MILLBRAE	$	658,776
PACIFICA	$	1,230,784
PORTOLA VALLEY	$	400,000
REDWOOD CITY	$	2,417,375
SAN BRUNO	$	1,318,981
SAN CARLOS	$	898,013
SAN MATEO	$	2,996,500
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	$	1,964,222
WOODSIDE	$	400,000
COUNTY TOTAL	$	**22,280,571**

Sources: City calculations provided by the League of California Cities based on population data from January 2006.
County calculations provided by the California State Association of Counties.

SUB-REGIONAL PARTICIPATION RESOLUTION STATUS

Agency	Population (as of 3/25/01)	Per Cent of Total	Contact	Telephone Number	Discussed	Scheduled	Approved	Resolution Received	Possible Support	Support Meeting	Approval Status Population	Approval Status %
Atherton	7194	1.02%	Jim Robinson	650 752-0504	LM-7/18/06	07/19/06	07/19/06		Y	No	7194	1.02%
Belmont	25123	3.55%	Maureen Cassingham	650 595-7410	07/06/06	08/08/06			Y	Rich		
			Carlos DeMello	650 595-7417	07/17/06							
Brisbane	3597	0.51%	Clay Holstine	415 508-2111	LM-7/17/06	08/21/06			Y			
Burlingame	28158	3.98%	Jim Nantell	650-558-7205	07/07/06	07/17/06	07/17/06		Y	No	28158	3.98%
			Meg Monroe	650 558-7255	LM-7/18/06							
Colma	1191	0.17%	Diane McGrath	650 997-8310(09)	LM-7/6	07/12/06	07/12/06		Y	Rich	1191	0.17%
			Andrea Ouse	650 985-2590	07/06/06							
Daly City	103621	14.65%	Pat Martell	650 991-8127	07/18/06	8/14/06			Y			
East Palo Alto	29506	4.17%	Alvin James	650 853-3100(36)	06/22/06	07/25/06			Y			
			Wilbert Lee	650 853-3120	07/13/06							
Foster City	28803	4.07%	Jim Hardy	650 286-3213		07/17/06	07/17/06		Y		28803	4.07%
			Christie Chapelle	650 286-3220	07/18/06							
Half Moon Bay	11842	1.67%	Deborah Auker	650 726-8270	07/18/06	07/18/06	07/18/06		Y	No	11842	1.67%
Hillsborough	10825	1.53%	Tony Constantouros	650 579-3800	LM-6/26	07/10/06	07/10/06		Y	Duane	10825	1.53%
Menlo Park	30785	4.35%	David Boesch	650 858-3380	LM-7/13/06	07/18/06			Y	No		
Millbrae	20718	2.93%	Ralph Jaeck	650 259-2334	LM-7/13/06	07/11/06	07/11/06		Y		20718	2.93%
Pacifica	38390	5.43%	Joe Tanner	650 738-7301	06/23/06	07/10/06	07/10/06		Y		38390	5.43%
Portola Valley	4462	0.63%	Angela Howard	650 851-1700 X15	07/06/06	07/26/06			Y	Rich		
			Leslie Lambert	650 851-1700 X14	07/11/06							
Redwood City	75402	10.66%	Ed Everett	650 780-7300	06/22/06	08/07/06			Y			
			Malcolm Smith	650 780-7305	LM-7/18/06							
			Peter Ingram									
San Bruno	40165	5.68%	Connie Jackson	650 616-7056	07/13/06	07/25/06			Y	Yes		
			Mark Sullivan	650 616-7053	07/17/06							
San Carlos	27718	3.92%	Mark Weiss	650 802-4228	LM-6/26	07/24/06			Y	Rich		
			Liz Cullinan	650 802-4264	07/13/06							
San Mateo	92482	13.08%	Arne Croce	650 522-7000	06/26/06	07/17/06	07/17/06		Y	No	92482	13.08%
South San Francisco	60552	8.56%	Barry Nagel	650-829-3800	07/13/06	07/26/06			Y	No		
Woodside	5352	0.76%	Susan George	650 851-6790	07/10/06	07/25/06			Y			
San Mateo County	61275	8.66%	John Maltbie	650 363-4121	LM-6/26	08/15/06			Y			
TOTAL	707161	100.00%									239603	33.88%

San Mateo County Congestion Management and Air Quality

Utilities Working Group

Elected Officials

Bill Dickenson
Councilman, Belmont
wdickenson@belmont.gov
(650) 593-3940

Deborah Gordon
Mayor, Woodside
dcgordon@stanford.edu
(650) 725-6501

Jerry Hill
Supervisor, County of San Mateo
jhill@co.sanmateo.ca.us
(650) 363-4568

Terry Nagel
Vice Mayor, Burlingame
terrydnagel@aol.com
(650) 331-1020

Barbara Pierce
Mayor, Redwood City
barbara@barbarapierce.org
(650) 780-7554 home (650) 368-6246

Sepi Richardson
Former Mayor/Councilwoman, Brisbane
sepirichardson@sbgcglobal.net
(415) 467-6409

Stakeholder Representatives

Energy

Bruce Chamberlain
Senior Project Manager, Local Government Energy Partnership
Energy Solutions
bruce@energy-solution.com
(510) 482-4420 ext 227 cell (510) 325-9030

Water

Nicole Sandkulla, P.E.
Senior Water Resources Engineer, Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency
nsandkulla@bawsca.org
(650) 349-3000

Utility

Kathy Lavezzo
Account Manager, PG&E
KOL1@pge.com
(650) 598-7267 cell (650) 279-3864

Nonprofit

Robert Cormia
Volunteer, Sustainable Silicon Valley
rdcormia@earthlink.net
(650) 747-1588

Business

Large business
Mukesh Khattar
Director of Energy, Oracle Corporation
mukesh.khattar@oracle.com
(650) 506-6980

Small business
Mario Panoringan
CEO, Daly City–Colma Chamber of Commerce
mcpanoringan@dalycity-colmachamber.org
(650) 755-3900

UWG Staff

Jill Boone
Resource Conservation Programs Manager
San Mateo County
jboone@co.sanmateo.ca.us
(650) 599-1433

Gina Blus
Gina Blus Consulting
gina.blus@gmail.com
(510) 428-0349
cell (650) 219-0863